# Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 39 Filed 12/23/94 Page 1 of 8

GORDON H. DePAOLI 1 DALE E. FERGUSON the 23 2 Kingson on and the ser In H WOODBURN and WEDGE 2 One East First Street Suite 1600 3 P.O. Box 2311 Reno, Nevada 89505 4 Telephone: (702) 688-3000 5 Attorneys for WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 9 10 IN EQUITY NO. C-125 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 SUBFILE NO. C-125-B Plaintiff, 12 WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, 13 Plaintiff-Intervenor, 14 VS. 15 WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, 16 a corporation, et al., 17 Defendants. REPORT OF THE WALKER 18 RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT CONCERNING MINERAL WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, 19 COUNTY'S MOTION TO INTERVENE Counterclaimant, 20 21 vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, 22 et al., 23 Counterdefendants. 24 25

Pursuant to the November 17, 1994, Minute Order of this Court, and the Stipulation and Order Concerning Mineral County's Motion to Intervene and for Pretrial Conference Thereon (the "Stipulation") filed on November 25, 1994, the Walker River

28 WOODBURN AND WEDGE ATTORNEYS ONE FAST FIRST STREFT RENO, NEVADA 89501 (702) 688-3000

26

27

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 39 Filed 12/23/94 Page 2 of 8

Irrigation District (the "District") submits its Report Concerning
Mineral County's Motion to Intervene.

#### I. Introduction.

On or about January 3, 1992, the District filed a First Amended Petition for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Request for Order to Show Cause; or in the Alternative to Change the Point of Diversion to Storage of Water from California to Nevada (the "Petition"). The Petition challenged the California Water Resources Control Board's (the "Board") authority to issue orders to the District which conflicted with the Walker River Decree.

See, Oct. 27, 1992 Order (Doc. No. 15) at 2.

On or about March 17, 1992, the Walker River Paiute Tribe (the "Tribe") served its answer to the Petition. The Tribe's answer included a cross-claim against the Board and a counterclaim against all water users on the Walker River and its tributaries as set forth in the Final Decree (the "Counterclaim"). The Tribe seeks recognition of a right to store water in Weber Reservoir for use on the lands of the Walker River Indian Reservation and for a federal reserved water right to use water on lands added to the Reservation in 1936.

By minute order dated May 18, 1992, the Court ordered that the Tribe's claims would proceed separately from the petition filed by the District. Minutes of Court, May 18, 1992 (Doc. No. 34) at 1. The Court further ordered that in filing documents the parties will designate them as follows:

C-125 is the administration of the Decree;

WOODBURN AND WEDGE ATTORNEYS ONE EAST FIRST STREET RENO, NEVADA 89501

(702) 688-3000

# Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 39 Filed 12/23/94 Page 3 of 8

C-125 A is the [District's] Amended Petition and Cross-Claim; and

C-125 B is the [Tribe's] counterclaim.

 $\underline{\text{Id}}$ . at 4. The clerk was ordered to establish a new subfile, to be designated as C-125-B for all proceedings related to the Tribe's counterclaim.  $\underline{\text{Id}}$ .

On August 3, 1992, the District filed a series of preliminary threshold motions related to the Tribe's counterclaim, including a motion to require the joinder of all claimants to the waters of Walker River as defendants. October 27, 1992 Order (Doc. No. 15) at 3. The State of Nevada filed similar motions. <u>Id</u>.

On October 27, 1992, the Court granted the District's and Nevada's motions to require joinder of parties. <u>Id</u>. at 7. The effect of that order was to require joinder and/or substitution of all persons or entities claiming a right to use water from the Walker River or its tributaries in Nevada and California, whether such claims were based upon the Walker River Decree or subsequent appropriations. Through a series of stipulations and orders the time for complying with the Court's order has been extended to March 25, 1994. <u>See</u>, November 17, 1994 Stipulation and Order (Doc. No. 36).

On or about October 25, 1994, Mineral County, Nevada filed a Notice of Motion and Motion for Intervention and supporting documents (the "Motion to Intervene") seeking to intervene in C-125-B. Mineral County's proposed petition in intervention seeks a major reallocation of the waters of the Walker River in an effort to preserve a minimum lake level at Walker Lake. The

### Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 39 Filed 12/23/94 Page 4 of 8

proposed petition seeks to accomplish this reallocation through modification of the Walker River Decree and issuance of an order requiring Nevada to grant "a certificate to Mineral County for the benefit of Walker Lake in the amount of 103,000 acre/feet per year".

By letter dated November 15, 1994, counsel for Mineral County advised the Clerk of the Court that "the Motion to Intervene and all accompanying papers and documents should be filed in C-125 and should reflect that case number only." The precise meaning of that statement in relation to the filing provisions of the Court's May 18, 1992 Minute Order is not clear.

Pursuant to the November 15 and December 8, 1994, stipulations and orders a status conference was scheduled to address the issue of the persons to be given notice of and an opportunity to respond to the Motion to Intervene and any other matter which will aid in the disposition of the motion to intervene. No response to the motion to intervene is required until further order of the Court.

#### II. Mineral County Must Give Notice of the Motion to Intervene to All Claimants to Waters of the Walker River and its Tributaries

Mineral County seeks to intervene pursuant to Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Under Rule 24, "[a] person desiring to intervene shall serve a motion to intervene upon the parties as provided in Rule 5." Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(c). Therefore, Rule 5 provides the relevant law in identifying the persons Mineral County must serve and thereby notify with regard

WOODBURN AND WEDGE ATTORNEYS ONE EAST FIRST STREET RENO. NEVADA 89501 (702) 688-3000

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 39 Filed 12/23/94 Page 5 of 8

to its Motion to Intervene. Similarly, it provides the relevant law as to who should have an opportunity to respond to the Motion.

Under Rule 5, "[e]xcept as otherwise provided in these rules, every order required by its terms to be served, every pleading subsequent to the original complaint . . . shall be served upon each of the parties." Fed.R.Civ.P. 5(a) (emphasis added). Therefore, in order to comply with Rule 5 as required by Rule 24, Mineral County must serve all of the parties to this action.

Whether the Motion to Intervene is considered filed in C-125, the administration of the Walker River Decree, or C-125-B, the Tribe's Counterclaim, as a result of this Court's October 27, 1992 Order, the "parties" include successors to the original defendants named in 1924 and 1926 and subsequent appropriators. The Court's October 27, 1992 Order has required that they be joined as parties. Rule 24 requires service of the Motion to Intervene on all of those parties.

Moreover, based upon applicable law and the rationale of the October 27, 1992 Order, if Mineral County is allowed to intervene and assert its claim for reallocation of the waters of the Walker River, it will be required to join those same persons, if they have not already been joined. Mineral County's proposed petition seeks to impact every water user on the Walker River system.

#### III. FURTHER PROCEEDINGS CONCERNING THE MOTION TO INTERVENE

The United States and the Tribe have until March 25, 1995 within which to complete service of process on the persons ordered joined by this Court's October 27, 1992 Order. Once that service is complete and those persons have appeared, Mineral County should

# Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 39 Filed 12/23/94 Page 6 of 8

serve its Motion to Intervene on them. Thereafter, a scheduling
conference should be held to coordinate the time for responding to
Mineral County's Motion to Intervene. Proceedings on the
counterclaim of the Tribe and the United States should be stayed
until the Court rules on Mineral County's Motion to Intervene.
DATED this $\frac{23^{4}}{23}$ day of December, 1994.

WOODBURN and WEDGE One East First Street, Suite 1600 P.O. Box 2311 Reno, Nevada 89505

GORDON H. De Paoli

Attorneys for

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 39 Filed 12/23/94 Page 7 of 8

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL 1 I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge, and 2 3 that on this date, pursuant to FRCP 5(b), I deposited in the United States mail at Reno, Nevada, a true copy of the foregoing 4 5 document, addressed to: Richard R. Greenfield 6 Shirley A. Smith Dept. of the Interior Asst. U.S. Attorney 7 Two North Central Ave., #500 100 W. Liberty St., #600 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Reno, Nevada 89509 8 Western Nevada Agency George Benesch 9 Bureau of Indian Affairs Benesch & Fermoile P.O. Box 3197 1677 Hot Springs Road 10 Reno, NV 89505 Carson City, NV 89706 R. Michael Turnipseed, P.E. 11 Jim Weishaupt, General Manager Division of Water Resources State of Nevada 12 P.O. Box 820 123 West Nye Lane Yerington, NV 89447 13 Carson City, NV 89710 14 James T. Markle Scott McElroy Greene, Meyer & McElroy State Water Resources 15 1007 Pearl Street Control Board P.O. Box 100 Boulder, CO 80302 16 Sacramento, CA 95814 17 David Moser, Esq. John Kramer Dept. of Water Resources McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & 18 1416 Ninth Street Enerson Sacramento, CA 95814 Three Embarcadero Center 19 San Francisco, CA 94111 20 Richard E. Olson, Jr. John P. Lange Claassen and Olson Land and Natural Resources 21 Federal Bldg., Dr. 3607 P.O. Box 2101 Carson City, NV 89702 999 18th Street, Ste. 945 22 Denver, CO 80202 23 Ross E. deLipkau Roger Johnson P.O. Box 2790 Water Resources Control Board 24 State of California Reno, Nevada 89505 P.O. Box 2000 25 Sacramento, CA 95810 Garry Stone

28 WOODBURN AND WEDGE ATTORNEYS ONE FAST FIRST STREET RENO, NEVADA 89501 (702) 688-3000

26

27

290 South Arlington Reno, NV 89510

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 39 Filed 12/23/94 Page 8 of 8

Linda Bowman Vargas & Bartlett P.O. Box 281 Reno, Nevada 89504

Susan Joseph-Taylor Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada 123 W. Nye Lane Carson City, Nevada 89710

Jim Spoo Zeh, Spoo & Hearne 450 Marsh Avenue Reno, Nevada 89509 Mary Hackenbracht Deputy Attorney General State of California 2101 Webster Street Oakland, CA 94612-3049

Roger Bezayiff Water Master U.S. Board of Water Commissioners P.O. Box 853 Yerington, Nevada 89447

DATED this Zac day of December, 1994.

Bury Warriers

RENO, NEVADA 89501 (702) 688-3000